arXiv:1602.05156v1 [math.CT] 16 Feb 2016

On Crossed Modules in Modified Categories of Interest

A.F. ASLAN, S. ÇETİN AND E. Ö. USLU

Address: Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Art and Science Faculty, Eskisehir, Turkey.

e-mail addresses: afaslan@ogu.edu.tr, selimc@ogu.edu.tr, enveruslu@ogu.edu.tr.

Abstract: We introduce some algebraic structures such as singularity, commutators and central extension in modified categories of interest. Additionally, we introduce the cat^1-objects with their connection to crossed modules in these categories which gives rise to unify many notions about (pre)crossed modules in various algebras of categories.

Keywords: Center, Central Extension, Commutator, Singularity.

1 Introduction

Categories of interest were introduced in order to study properties of different algebraic categories and different algebras simultaneously. The idea comes from P. G. Higgins [29] and the definition is due to M. Barr and G. Orzech [28]. Many categories of algebraic structures are main examples of these categories (see [13, 17, 28, 32, 33, 34]).The categories of crossed modules and precrossed modules in the category of groups, respectively, are equivalent to categories of interest as well, in the sense of [11, 14]. Nevertheless, the cat^1-Lie (associative, Leibniz, etc.) algebras are not categories of interest. Consequently, in [5], Y. Boyacı et al. introduce and study a new type of category of interest; namely, a category which satisfies all axioms of a category of groups with operations stated in [39] except one, which is replaced by a new axiom; this category satisfies as well two additional axioms introduced in [28] for categories of interest. They called this category as "Modified category of Interest" which will be denoted MCI from now on. The examples are mainly those categories, which are equivalent to the categories of crossed modules and precrossed

modules in the categories of Lie algebras, Leibniz algebras, associative and associative commutative algebras . See [3, 6, 7, 9, 12, 16, 18, 21, 22, 30, 35, 39], for more examples.

Crossed modules were introduced by J.H.C Whitehead in [40] as a model of homotopy 3-types and used to classify higher dimensional cohomology groups in [41]. Since then, whole property adapted to many algebras. The notions of crossed modules were defined on various algebras such as, (associative) commutative algebras, Lie algebras, Leibniz algebras, Lie-Rinehart algebras in [3, 6, 7, 9, 12, 16, 18, 21, 22, 30, 35, 39]. The definition of crossed modules in modified categories of interest unifies all these definition. As a different model of homotopy types, Loday defined cat^1-groups in [31]. The category of cat^1-groups and crossed modules are naturally equivalent and this result was adapted to many algebras, as well. The notions of cat^1-algebras were introduced in [23].

In this work our main purpose is to unify the notions of center, singularity, commutator and central extensions in various categories of (pre)crossed modules (See [1, 5, 9, 38]). For this, first we introduce the notions center, singularity and central extensions in modified categories of interest. Inspiring from the equivalence between the categories of (pre)cat^1-groups and (pre)crossed modules, we introduce the notion of (pre)cat^1-objects and their connection to crossed modules in modified categories of interest. Then applying those definitions to (pre)cat^1-objects, we get unification of many notions related to (pre)crossed modules in different types of categories. Additionally, we show that our definitions coincide with those given in [24, 26, 37].

The outline of the paper is as follows: In section 2, we recall the notion of MCI and some related structures with basic properties. In section 3, we introduce the notion of (pre)cat^1-object in an arbitrary modified category of interest $\mathbb{C}$ with its connection to crossed modules in $\mathbb{C}$. Then we introduce the singularity, commutators and central extensions in MCI. In section 4, as an application of section 3 we get the (pre)crossed module version of the introduced notions.

Acknowledgement : We would like to thank T. Datuashvili for valuable comments and suggestions while her visit to Eskisehir Osmangazi University supported by TÜBİTAK grand 2221 konuk veya akademik izinli bilim insanı destekleme programı.

2 PRELIMINARIES

We will recall the notions of MCI and main constructions from [5] which are modified versions of those given in [14, 21, 28].

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a category of groups with a set of operations Ω and with a set of identities $\mathbb{E}$, such that $\mathbb{E}$ includes the group identities and the following conditions hold. If Ω_i is the set of i-ary operations in Ω, then:

(a) $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$;

(b) the group operations (written additively : $0, -, +$) are elements of Ω_0, Ω_1 and Ω_2 respectively. Let $\Omega_2' = \Omega_2 \setminus \{+\}$, $\Omega_1' = \Omega_1 \setminus \{-\}$. Assume that if $* \in \Omega_2$, then Ω_2' contains $*^\circ$ defined by $x *^\circ y = y * x$ and assume $\Omega_0 = \{0\}$;

(c) for each $* \in \Omega_2'$, $\mathbb{E}$ includes the identity $x * (y + z) = x * y + x * z$;

(d) for each $\omega \in \Omega_1'$ and $* \in \Omega_2'$, $\mathbb{E}$ includes the identities $\omega(x+y) = \omega(x)+\omega(y)$ and $\omega(x * y) = \omega(x) * \omega(y)$.

Let C be an object of $\mathbb{C}$ and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in C$:

Axiom 1. $x_1 + (x_2 * x_3) = (x_2 * x_3) + x_1$, for each $* \in \Omega_2'$.

Axiom 2. For each ordered pair $(*, \overline{*}) \in \Omega_2' \times \Omega_2'$ there is a word W such that

$$(x_1 * x_2)\overline{*}x_3 = W(x_1(x_2x_3), x_1(x_3x_2), (x_2x_3)x_1,$$
$$(x_3x_2)x_1, x_2(x_1x_3), x_2(x_3x_1), (x_1x_3)x_2, (x_3x_1)x_2),$$

where each juxtaposition represents an operation in Ω_2'.

Definition 1 *[5] A category of groups with operations $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying conditions $(a) - (d)$, Axiom 1 and Axiom 2, is called a modified category of interest.*

The difference of this definition from the original one of category of interest is the identity $\omega(x) * \omega(y) = \omega(x * y)$, which is $\omega(x) * y = \omega(x * y)$ in the definition of category of interest.

Example 2 *The categories* **Cat^1-Ass**, **Cat^1-Lie**, **Cat^1-Leibniz**, **PreCat^1-Ass**, **PreCat^1-Lie** *and* **PreCat^1-Leibniz** *are modified categories of interest, which are not categories of interest. Also the category of commutative Von Neumann regular rings is isomorphic to the category of commutative rings with a unary operation $(\ )^*$ satisfying two axioms, defined in [4], which is a modified category of interest.*

Notation 3 *From now on, $\mathbb{C}$ will denote an arbitrary modified category of interest.*

Let $B \in \mathbb{C}$. A subobject of B is called an ideal if it is the kernel of some morphism. Then A is an ideal of B if and only if A is a normal subgroup of B and $a * b \in A$, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $* \in \Omega_2'$.

For $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ we say that we have a set of actions of B on A, whenever there is a map $f_* : A \times B \longrightarrow A$, for each $* \in \Omega_2$. A split extension of B by A, induces an action of B on A corresponding to the operations in $\mathbb{C}$. For a given split extension

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

we have

$$b \cdot a = s(b) + a - s(b),$$
$$b * a = s(b) * a,$$

for all $b \in B$, $a \in A$ and $* \in {\Omega_2}'$. Actions defined by the previous equations are called derived actions of B on A.

Given an action of B on A, a semi-direct product $A \rtimes B$ is a universal algebra, whose underlying set is $A \times B$ and the operations are defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega(a,b) & = & (\omega(a), \omega(b)), \\ (a',b') + (a,b) & = & (a' + b' \cdot a, b' + b), \\ (a',b') * (a,b) & = & (a' * a + a' * b + b' * a, b' * b), \end{array}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$, $b, b' \in B$. See [5], for details.

Example 4 *A dialgebra (or diassociative algebra) over a field $\mathbb{K}$, introduced in [33] is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space defined with two $\mathbb{K}$-linear maps:*

$$\dashv, \vdash : A \otimes A \to A$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{l} (x \dashv y) \dashv z = x \dashv (y \vdash z), \\ (x \dashv y) \dashv z = x \dashv (y \dashv z), \\ (x \vdash y) \dashv z = x \vdash (y \dashv z), \\ (x \dashv y) \vdash z = x \vdash (y \vdash z), \\ (x \vdash y) \vdash z = x \vdash (y \vdash z), \end{array}$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$.

Let A and B be two dialgebras. A dialgebra action of B on A is defined with four bilinear maps:

$$\rhd_\vdash , \rhd_\dashv : B \times A \to A$$
$$\lhd_\vdash , \lhd_\dashv : A \times B \to A$$

satisfying the required 30 *axioms. (For details about these axioms see [7])*

The semi-direct product $A \rtimes B$ is the dialgebra whose underlying set is $A \times B$ with usual scalar multiplication, component wise addition and the binary operations defined by

$$(a,b) \dashv (a',b') = (a \dashv a' + b \rhd_{\dashv} a' + a \lhd_{\dashv} b', b \dashv b'),$$

$$(a,b) \vdash (a',b') = (a \vdash a' + b \rhd_{\vdash} a' + a \lhd_{\vdash} b', b \vdash b'),$$

for $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

Theorem 5 *[5] An action of B on A is a derived action if and only if $A \rtimes B$ is an object of $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proposition 6 *[5] A set of actions of B on A in $\mathbb{C}_G$ is a set of derived actions if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $0 \cdot a = a$,
2. $b \cdot (a_1 + a_2) = b \cdot a_1 + b \cdot a_2$,
3. $(b_1 + b_2) \cdot a = b_1 \cdot (b_2 \cdot a)$,
4. $b * (a_1 + a_2) = b * a_1 + b * a_2$,
5. $(b_1 + b_2) * a = b_1 * a + b_2 * a$,
6. $(b_1 * b_2) \cdot (a_1 * a_2) = a_1 * a_2$,
7. $(b_1 * b_2) \cdot (a * b) = a * b$,
8. $a_1 * (b \cdot a_2) = a_1 * a_2$,
9. $b * (b_1 \cdot a) = b * a$,
10. $\omega(b \cdot a) = \omega(b) \cdot \omega(a)$,
11. $\omega(a * b) = \omega(a) * \omega(b)$,
12. $x * y + z * t = z * t + x * y$,

for each $\omega \in \Omega_1'$, $* \in \Omega_2{}'$, b, b_1, $b_2 \in B$, $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$ and for $x, y, z, t \in A \cup B$ whenever each side of 12 has a sense.

Definition 7 *[5] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}$. The center of A is*

$$Z(A) = \{z \in A \mid a+z = z+a,\ a+\omega(z) = \omega(z)+a,\ a*z = 0,\ a*\omega(z) = 0, \text{ for all } a \in A,\ \omega \in \Omega_1 \text{ and } * \in \Omega_2{}'\}.$$

On the other hand, if A is an ideal of B, then the centralizer of A in B is the ideal

$$Z(B,A) = \{b \in B \mid a+b = b+a, a+\omega(b) = \omega(b)+a, a*b = 0,\ a*\omega(b) = 0, \text{ for all } a \in A,\ \omega \in \Omega_1 \text{ and } * \in \Omega_2{}'\}.$$

A precrossed module in $\mathbb{C}$ is a triple (C_1, C_0, ∂) where $C_0, C_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, C_0 has a derived action on C_1 and $\partial : C_1 \longrightarrow C_0$ is a morphism in $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying

a) $\partial(c_0 \cdot c_1) = c_0 + \partial(c_1) - c_0$,

b) $\partial(c_0 * c_1) = c_0 * \partial(c_1)$,

for all $c_0 \in C_0$, $c_1 \in C_1$, and $* \in \Omega_2{}'$. In addition, if

c) $\partial(c_1) \cdot c_1' = c_1 + c_1' - c_1$,

d) $\partial(c_1) * c_1' = c_1 * c_1'$,

for all $c_1, c_1' \in C_1$, and $* \in \Omega_2{}'$, then the triple (C_1, C_0, ∂) is called a crossed module in $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 8 *A morphism between two (pre)crossed modules $(C_1, C_0, \partial) \longrightarrow (C_1', C_0', \partial')$ is a pair (μ_1, μ_0) of morphisms $\mu_0 : C_0 \longrightarrow C_0'$, $\mu_1 : C_1 \longrightarrow C_1'$, such that*

a) $\mu_0 \partial = \partial' \mu_1$,

b) $\mu_1(c_0 \cdot c_1) = \mu_0(c_0) \cdot \mu_1(c_1)$,

c) $\mu_1(c_0 * c_1) = \mu_0(c_0) * \mu_1(c_1)$,

for all $c_0 \in C_0$, $c_1 \in C_1$ and $* \in \Omega_2{}'$.

Consequently, we have the categories **PXMod**$(\mathbb{C})$ of precrossed modules and **XMod**$(\mathbb{C})$ of crossed modules.

Example 9 *A crossed module in the category of dialgebras is a homomorphism $\partial : D_1 \longrightarrow D_0$ with an action of D_0 on D_1 such that*

1) $\partial(d_0 \rhd_{\dashv} d_1) = d_0 \dashv \partial(d_1)$,
$\partial(d_0 \rhd_{\vdash} d_1) = d_0 \vdash \partial(d_1)$,
$\partial(d_1 \lhd_{\dashv} d_0) = \partial(d_1) \dashv d_0$,
$\partial(d_1 \lhd_{\vdash} d_0) = \partial(d_1) \vdash d_0$,

2) $\partial(d_1) \rhd_{\dashv} d_1' = d_1 \dashv d_1' = d_1 \lhd_{\dashv} \partial(d_1')$,
$\partial(d_1) \rhd_{\vdash} d_1' = d_1 \vdash d_1' = d_1 \lhd_{\vdash} \partial(d_1')$,

for all $d_1, d_1' \in D_1$, $d_0 \in D_0$. *The definition covers the definition given in [7].*

Example 10 *Let* $\partial : D_1 \longrightarrow D_0$ *and* $\partial' : D_1' \longrightarrow D_0'$ *be crossed modules of dialgebras. The pair* (μ_1, μ_0) *consists of dialgebra homomorphisms* $\mu_1 : D_1 \longrightarrow D_1'$, $\mu_0 : D_0 \longrightarrow D_0'$ *which satisfies* $\partial'\mu_1 = \mu_0\partial$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1(d_0 \rhd_{\vdash} d_1) &= \mu_0(d_0) \rhd_{\vdash} \mu_1(d_1),\\
\mu_1(d_1 \lhd_{\dashv} d_0) &= \mu_1(d_1) \lhd_{\dashv} \mu_0(d_0),\\
\mu_1(d_0 \rhd_{\dashv} d_1) &= \mu_0(d_0) \rhd_{\dashv} \mu_1(d_1),\\
\mu_1(d_1 \lhd_{\vdash} d_0) &= \mu_1(d_1) \lhd_{\vdash} \mu_0(d_0),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $d_1 \in D_1$ *and* $d_0 \in D_0$ *is called a morphism between* $\partial : D_1 \longrightarrow D_0$ *and* $\partial' : D_1' \longrightarrow D_0'$.

Definition 11 *Let* (C_1, C_0, μ) *be a (pre)crossed module in* $\mathbb{C}$. *A (pre)crossed module* (C_1', C_0', μ') *is a (pre)crossed submodule of* (C_1, C_0, μ) *if* C_1' *and* C_0' *are subobjects of* C_1, C_0, *respectively,* $\mu' = \mu|_{C_1'}$ *and the action of* C_0' *on* C_1' *is induced by the action of* C_0 *on* C_1. *Additionally if* C_0' *and* C_1' *are ideals of* C_0 *and* C_1 *respectively,* $c_0 * c_1' \in C_1'$, $c_0' * c_1 \in C_1'$, $c_0 \cdot c_1' \in C_1'$, $c_0' \cdot c_1 - c_1 \in C_1'$, *for all* $c_1 \in C_1$, $c_0 \in C_0$, $c_1' \in C_1'$, $c_0' \in C_0'$ *then* (C_1', C_0', μ') *is called a crossed ideal of* (C_1, C_0, μ).

Equivalently, (C_1', C_0', μ') is a crossed ideal of (C_1, C_0, μ) if and only if (C_1', C_0', μ') is the kernel of some morphism.

3 Some Algebraic Structures in MCI

In this section, first we will introduce the notion of (pre)cat^1-objects in a modified category of interest $\mathbb{C}$ and construct the corresponding category (**Pre**)**Cat^1**$(\mathbb{C})$ of (pre)cat^1-objects with natural equivalence with the category (**P**)**Xmod**$(\mathbb{C})$ of (pre) crossed modules in $\mathbb{C}$. Then we will introduce the notions of singularity, commutator and central extensions in $\mathbb{C}$. Also we show that the notion of central extension that we introduced in Definition 25 coincides with the definition of centrality, in the sense of [25].

3.1 (Pre)Cat^1- Objects in MCI

Definition 12 *A precat^1-object in $\mathbb{C}$ is a triple (C, ω_0, ω_1), where $C \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\omega_0, \omega_1 : C \longrightarrow C$, are morphisms in $\mathbb{C}$ which satisfy*

1) $\omega_0\omega_1 = \omega_1,\ \omega_1\omega_0 = \omega_0.$

In addition, if

2) $x * y = 0,\ x + y - x - y = 0,$

for all $* \in \Omega_2{}'$ and $x \in ker\omega_0,\ y \in ker\omega_1$, then the triple (C, ω_0, ω_1) is called a cat^1-object in $\mathbb{C}$.

Consider the category, whose objects are cat^1-objects and morphisms are $\mathbb{C}$-morphisms compatible with the maps ω_0 and ω_1. We will denote this category by $\mathbf{Cat^1}(\mathbb{C})$. Also we have the category $\mathbf{PreCat^1}(\mathbb{C})$ of precat^1-objects, in the same manner.

Example 13 *Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the category of Leibniz algebras. Then a cat^1-Leibniz algebra is a triple (L, ω_0, ω_1) consists of a Leibniz algebra L and Leibniz algebra homomorphisms $\omega_0, \omega_1 : L \longrightarrow L$ such that,*

1) $\omega_0\omega_1 = \omega_1,\quad \omega_1\omega_0 = \omega_0,$

2) $[x, y] = 0 = [y, x],$

for all $x \in ker\omega_0,\ y \in ker\omega_1$.

Example 14 *A cat^1-dialgebra is a triple (D, ω_0, ω_1) consists of a dialgebra D and homomorphisms $\omega_0, \omega_1 : D \longrightarrow D$ such that,*

1) $\omega_0\omega_1 = \omega_1,\quad \omega_1\omega_0 = \omega_0,$

2) $x \dashv y = 0 = y \dashv x,\ x \vdash y = 0 = y \vdash x,$

for all $x \in ker\omega_0,\ y \in ker\omega_1$.

Proposition 15 *The categories* $\mathbf{XMod}(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathbf{Cat^1}(\mathbb{C})$ *are naturally equivalent.*

Proof. Let (C_1, C_0, ∂) be a crossed module in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the corresponding semi-direct product $C_1 \rtimes C_0$ induced from the action of C_0 on C_1. By Theorem 5, $C_1 \rtimes C_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. It is obvious that the maps $\omega_0 : C_1 \rtimes C_0 \longrightarrow C_1 \rtimes C_0$, $\omega_1 : C_1 \rtimes C_0 \longrightarrow C_1 \rtimes C_0$ defined by $\omega_0(c_1, c_0) = (0, c_0)$, $\omega_1(c_1, c_0) = (0, \partial(c_1) + c_0)$, for all $(c_1, c_0) \in C_1 \times C_0$ are $\mathbb{C}$-morphisms. On the other hand, since

$$\omega_0\omega_1(c_1, c_0) = \omega_0(0, \partial(c_1) + c_0) = (0, \partial(c_1) + c_0) = \omega_1(c_1, c_0)$$

and

$$\omega_1\omega_0(c_1,c_0)=\omega_1(0,c_0)=(0,c_0)=\omega_0(c_1,c_0),$$

for all $(c_1,c_0)\in C_1\times C_0$, we have $\omega_0\omega_1=\omega_1$, $\omega_1\omega_0=\omega_0$. Let $(c_1,c_0)\in ker\omega_0$ and $(\overline{c_1},\overline{c_0})\in ker\omega_1$. Then we have $c_0=0$ and $\partial(\overline{c_1})+\overline{c_0}=0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}(c_1,c_0)+(\overline{c_1},\overline{c_0})&=(c_1+c_0.\overline{c_1},c_0+\overline{c_0})\\&=(c_1+\overline{c_1},\overline{c_0})\\&=(\overline{c_1}-\overline{c_1}+c_1+\overline{c_1},\overline{c_0})\\&=(\overline{c_1}+(-\partial(\overline{c_1}))\cdot c_1,\overline{c_0})\\&=(\overline{c_1}+\overline{c_0}\cdot c_1,\overline{c_0}+c_0)\\&=(\overline{c_1},\overline{c_0})+(c_1,c_0)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(c_1,c_0)*(\overline{c_1},\overline{c_0})&=(c_1*\overline{c_1}+c_1*\overline{c_0}+c_0*\overline{c_1},c_0*\overline{c_0})\\&=(c_1*\overline{c_1}+c_1*\overline{c_0}+0*\overline{c_1},0*\overline{c_0})\\&=(c_1*(\partial(\overline{c_1}))+c_1*\overline{c_0},0)\\&=(c_1*(\partial(\overline{c_1})+\overline{c_0}),0)\\&=(c_1*0,0)\\&=(0,0),\end{aligned}$$

as required. So we have the functor $\mathfrak{C}:\mathbf{XMod}(\mathbb{C})\longrightarrow\mathbf{Cat^1}(\mathbb{C})$.
Conversely, given a cat^1-object (C,ω_0,ω_1) in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the morphism $\partial:C_1\longrightarrow C_0$ where $C_1=ker\omega_0$, $C_0=Im\omega_0$ and $\partial=\omega_1\big|_{\ker\omega_0}$. Define the dot action of C_0 on C_1 by $c_0\cdot c_1=c_0+c_1-c_0$ and the star actions by c_0*c_1, for $c_0\in C_0$, $c_1\in C_1$, $*\in\Omega_2'$. We claim that (C_1,C_0,∂) is a crossed module in $\mathbb{C}$ with these actions.

By a direct calculation we have $\omega_0(c_1)=0$ and there exist $c\in C$ such that $\omega_0(c)=c_0$, for all $c_0\in C_0$, $c_1\in C_1$.
i) For all $c_0\in C_0$, $c_1\in C_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(c_0.c_1)&=\omega_1(c_0+c_1-c_0)\\&=\omega_1(\omega_0(c)+c_1-\omega_0(c))\\&=\omega_1\omega_0(c)+\omega_1(c_1)-\omega_1\omega_0(c)\\&=\omega_0(c)+\omega_1(c_1)-\omega_0(c)\\&=c_0+\partial(c_1)-c_0.\end{aligned}$$

ii) For all $c_0 \in C_0$, $c_1 \in C_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(c_0 * c_1) &= \omega_1(\omega_0(c) * c_1)\\ &= \omega_1\omega_0(c) * \omega_1(c_1)\\ &= \omega_0(c) * \omega_1(c_1)\\ &= c_0 * \partial(c_1).\end{aligned}$$

iii) Since $\omega_1\omega_1 = \omega_1\omega_0\omega_1 = \omega_0\omega_1 = \omega_1$, we have $\omega_1(c_1 - \partial(c_1)) = 0$, which means $(c_1 - \partial(c_1)) \in ker\omega_1$ and $(c_1 - \partial(c_1)) + c_1' - (c_1 - \partial(c_1)) - c_1' = 0$, for all $c_1' \in C_1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(c_1).c_1' &= \partial(c_1) + c_1' - \partial(c_1)\\ &= c_1 - c_1 + \partial(c_1) + c_1' - \partial(c_1)\\ &= c_1 + c_1' - c_1,\end{aligned}$$

for all $c_1, c_1' \in C_1$ as required.
iv) By a calculation similar to (iii) we have $\partial(c_1 * c_1') = \partial(c_1) * c_1' = c_1 * c_1'$, for all $c_1, c_1' \in C_1$, $* \in \Omega_2'$.
Consequently, we have the functor $\mathscr{X} : \mathbf{Cat^1}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{XMod}(\mathbb{C})$. The functors $\mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ give rise to a natural equivalence between $\mathbf{XMod}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{Cat^1}(\mathbb{C})$ ■
By a similar way, we have the natural equivalence between $\mathbf{Precat^1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{PXMod}(\mathbb{C})$.

3.2 Singularity, Commutators and Central Extensions

In this section we introduce the notions of singularity, commutators and central extensions in MCI.

3.2.1 Singularity and Commutators

Definition 16 *An object C in $\mathbb{C}$ which coincides with its center is called singular.*

Example 17 *Let A be a dialgebra. Then the center $Z(A)$ of A is the set*

$$\{z \in A \mid a \dashv z = 0 = z \dashv a,\ a \vdash z = 0 = z \vdash a,\ \textit{for all } a \in A\}.$$

Consequently, A is singular if $a \dashv a' = 0 = a \vdash a'$, for all $a, a' \in A$.

Example 18 *Consider a cat^1-group (G, ω_0, ω_1). Then (G, ω_0, ω_1) is singular if $g + g' = g' + g$, $g + \omega_i(g') = \omega_i(g') + g$, for all $g, g' \in G$, $i = 0, 1$.*

Definition 19 *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and $S \subseteq A$. The smallest ideal containing S will be called the ideal generated by S and denoted by $< S >$.*

Definition 20 *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and B, C be ideals of A then the ideal generated by the set $\{b + c - b - c,\ b * c,\ b + \omega(c) - b - \omega(c),\ c + \omega(b) - c - \omega(b),\ b * \omega(c),\ c * \omega(b) \mid b \in B, c \in C\}$ will be called the commutator object of B and C.*

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}$. The ideal generated by the set $\{x + y - x - y, x + \omega(y) - x - \omega(y), x * y, x * \omega(y) \mid x, y \in A, * \in \Omega_2'\}$ will be called the commutator of A and denoted by $[A, A]$. Also, $A/[A, A]$ will be called the singularization of A.

Example 21 *Let D be a dialgebra. The commutator of D is is the ideal generated by the set $\{a \dashv b, b \vdash a \mid a, b \in D\}$. Additionally, the singularization of D is*

$$D/\langle a \dashv b, b \vdash a; a, b \in D\rangle .$$

Proposition 22 *An object $C \in \mathbb{C}$ is singular if and only if $[C, C] = 0$.*

Proof. Direct checking. ■

Remark 23 *The definition of commutators in $\mathbb{C}$ coincides with the Huq's commutator [37] and the relative commutator (see [24]) with the Birkhoff subcategory $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C})$ of singular objects in $\mathbb{C}$.*

Theorem 24 *For any object $A \in \mathbb{C}$, the commutator ideal $[A, A]$ is the unique smallest ideal for which makes $A/[A, A]$ singular.*

Proof. Direct checking. ■

Denote the full subcategory consists of all singular objects in $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C})$. We have the functor $\mathfrak{Sing} : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C})$ which takes any object C to its singularization $C/[C, C]$. Additionally, we have the functor $\mathfrak{inc.} : \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is the inclusion of the Birkhoff variety $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Consequently we have the adjunction "$\mathfrak{Sing} \dashv \mathfrak{inc.}$" which can be diagrammed by

$$\mathbb{C} \underset{\mathfrak{inc.}}{\overset{\mathfrak{Sing}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C}) \ .$$

3.2.2 Central Extensions

Definition 25 *Let $C \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A \in \mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C})$. A central extension of C by A is an extension*

$$E : A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow C$$

such that A is an subobject of $Z(B)$.

Janelidze and Kelly [25] introduced the central extension in an exact category, relative to an "admissible" subcategory. From [27], any modified category of interest $\mathbb{C}$ is Barr exact Mal'tsev category and so any Birkhoff subcategory of $\mathbb{C}$ is admissible which gives rise to consider the categorical theory of central extensions in $\mathbb{C}$.

An extension $f : A \longrightarrow B$ is called trivial, in the sense of [25], if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Sing}(A) \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{Sing}(f)} \\ B & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Sing}(B) \end{array}$$

is pullback, where the horizontal morphisms are given by the unit of the adjunction. An extension is called central, in the sense of [25], if there exists an extension $\rho : E \longrightarrow B$ of B such that in the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_B A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ E & \xrightarrow[\rho]{} & B \end{array}$$

the morphism π_1 is a trivial extension.

Proposition 26 *Definition 25 coincides with the definition of centrality given in [25]. (Here, we consider the category $\mathbb{C}$ and the admissible subcategory* $\mathbf{Ab}(\mathbb{C})$*)*

Proof. Let

$$A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow C$$

be an extension in $\mathbb{C}$ with $A \subset Z(B)$. Consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \times_C B & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & B \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

By a direct calculation, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \times_C B & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Sing}(B \times_C B) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{Sing}(\pi_1)} \\ C & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Sing}(C) \end{array}$$

is pullback, that is, there exist an isomorphism between $B \times_C B$ and the fiber product $C \times_{\mathfrak{Sing}(C)} \mathfrak{Sing}(B \times_C B)$ defined by $(b, b') \longmapsto (b, \overline{(b, b')})$. So the morphism

$\pi_1 : B \times_C B \longrightarrow C$ is trivial extension from which we get the centrality in the sense of [25].

Conversely, given an extension

$$A \rightarrowtail B \xrightarrow{\vartheta_B} C$$

in $\mathbb{C}$ which is central in the sense of [25]. Then there exists an extension $E \xrightarrow{\vartheta_E} C$ such that in the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_C B & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & B \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

the morphism $\pi_1 : E \times_C B \longrightarrow C$ is a trivial extension, in other words, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_C B & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathfrak{Sing}(E \times_C B) \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathfrak{Sing}(\pi_1)} \\ E & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Sing}(E) \end{array}$$

is pullback. The kernel of π_1 is the injection $A \rightarrowtail E \times_C B$ and the kernel of $\mathfrak{Sing}(\pi_1)$ is the injection $\sigma : A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Sing}(E \underset{C}{\times} B)$, defined by $\sigma(a) = \overline{(0,a)}$ where $\overline{(0,a)}$ denotes the related coset. We want to show that $A \subset Z(B)$. For this we need to show $b + a = a + b$, $b + \omega(a) = \omega(a) + b$, $b * a = 0$, $b * \omega(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A, b \in B, \omega \in \Omega_1, * \in \Omega_2'$. For all $b \in B$ there exists $e \in E$ such that $\varphi_B(b) = \varphi_E(e)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(b + a - b - a) &= \overline{(0, b + a - b - a)} \\ &= \overline{(0,b)} + \overline{(e,a)} - \overline{(0,b)} - \overline{(e,a)} \\ &= \overline{(0,b) - (0,b)} + \overline{(e,a) - (e,a)} \\ &= \overline{(0,0)} \end{aligned}$$

we have $b + a - b - a = 0$. By similar calculations we get that $A \subseteq Z(B)$, as required. ■

4 Applications to (Pre)Crossed Modules in MCI

In this section, we introduce the notions of center, singularity and central extension of a (pre)crossed modules in modified categories of interest. For this, we inspired from the equivalence of the categories (**Pre**)**Cat**$^1(\mathbb{C})$ of (pre)cat^1-objects and

(**P**)**Xmod**($\mathbb{C}$) of (pre)crossed modules. In the case of precrossed modules of groups (Lie algebras), the notions give the definitions of centers, singularity and central extensions [1, 18, 19, 36, 38].

4.1 Center and Singularity of Precrossed Modules in MCI

Let (C_1, C_0, ∂) be a precrossed module and $(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1)$ be the corresponding precat^1-object. The center $Z(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1)$ of $(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1)$ is the ideal

$$\begin{aligned} Z(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1) = \{(z_1, z_0) \in C_1 \rtimes C_0 \,|\, & z_1 + z_0 \cdot c_1 = c_1 + c_0 \cdot z_1,\ z_1 + c_1 = c_1 + z_1, \\ & c_1 = z_0 \cdot c_1,\ c_1 = \partial(z_1) \cdot c_1,\ c_0 + \partial(z_1) = \partial(z_1) + c_0, \\ & (c_1 * z_0) + (c_0 * z_1) + (c_1 * z_0) = 0,\ (c_1 * z_1) = 0,\ (c_1 * z_0) = 0, \\ & (c_1 * \partial(z_1) = 0,\ \partial(c_0 * z_1) = 0, \text{ for all } (c_1, c_0) \in C_1 \rtimes C_0, * \in \Omega_2{}'\} \end{aligned}$$

The image $\mathscr{X}(Z(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1))$ is the precrossed ideal $(Z_1, Z_0, \partial\,|\,)$ of (C_1, C_0, ∂) where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 = \ & \{z_1 \in C_1 \,|\, z_1 + c_1 = c_1 + z_1, c_1 \cdot (\partial(z_1)) = c_1, \\ & c_0 + \partial(z_1) = \partial(z_1) + c_0,\ z_1 = c_0 \cdot z_1,\ c_1 * z_1 = 0, \\ & c_1 * (\partial(z_1)) = 0,\ c_0 * z_1 = 0, \text{ for all } c_1 \in C_1, c_0 \in C_0, * \in \Omega_2{}'\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 = \{z_0 \in C_0 \,|\, & z_0 \cdot c_1 = c_1,\ z_0 + c_0 = c_0 + z_0, \\ & c_1 * z_0 = 0,\ c_0 * z_0 = 0, \text{ for all } c_0 \in C_0, c_1 \in C_1, * \in \Omega_2{}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

If (C_1, C_0, ∂) is a crossed module, then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 = \ & \{z_1 \in C_1 \,|\, z_1 + c_1 = c_1 + z_1, c_0 + \partial(z_1) = \partial(z_1) + c_0, c_0 \cdot z_1 = z_1, \\ & c_1 * z_1 = 0, c_0 * z_1 = 0, \text{ for all } c_0 \in C_0, c_1 \in C_1, * \in \Omega_2{}'\}, \\ Z_0 = \ & \{z_0 \in C_0 | \ \ z_0 \cdot c_1 = c_1, z_0 + c_0 = c_0 + z_0, c_1 * z_0 = 0, \\ & c_0 * z_0 = 0, \text{ for all } c_0 \in C_0, c_1 \in C_1, * \in \Omega_2{}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 27 *(Z_1, Z_0, ∂) will be called the center of (C_1, C_0, ∂).*

We will denote the center of (C_1, C_0, ∂) by $Z(C_1, C_0, \partial)$.

The notions of commuting morphisms and central objects were defined by Huq [37] in the categories with zero objects, products and coproducts, whose morphisms have images. From these properties following the existence of injections $\Gamma_i : B_i \longrightarrow B_1 \times B_2, i = 1, 2$ in the direct product in such a category, we have the following. .

Definition 28 *[37] Two coterminal morphisms $\beta_1 : B_1 \longrightarrow A$ and $\beta_2 : B_2 \longrightarrow A$ are said to commute if there exists a morphism*

$$\beta_1 \circ \beta_2 : B_1 \times B_2 \longrightarrow A$$

making the diagram



commutative, where Γ_i, $i = 1, 2$ *denotes the injection of the direct product. In particular, a morphism* $\beta : B \longrightarrow A$ *said to be central if the identity morphism on A commutes with* β*, i.e., if it makes the diagram*



commutative. Additionally, if we have a monomorphism $\beta : B \longrightarrow A$*, then it is said that B is a central subobject of A.*

Definition 29 *[37] The center of an object is the maximal central subobject, relative to the order relation that exists on the set of monomorphisms.*

Proposition 30 *Let* (C_1, C_0, ∂) *be crossed module. Then* $Z(C_1, C_0, \partial|)$ *is the maximal central subobject of* (C_1, C_0, ∂)*.*

Proof. Consider the diagram



define $\alpha_1 : C_1 \times Z_1 \longrightarrow C_1$, $\alpha_0 : C_0 \times Z_0 \longrightarrow C_0$ by $\alpha_1(c_1, z_1) = c_1 + z_1$, $\alpha_0(c_0, z_0) = c_0 + z_0$, respectively, (β_1, β_0) as an inclusion and the others by usual way. Then the diagram is commutative from which we get that $Z(C_1, C_0, \partial|)$ is a central subobject.

For any central object $(H_1, H_0, \partial|)$ of (C_1, C_0, ∂). Then there exist a monomorphism $(\mu_1, \mu_0) : (H_1, H_0, \partial|) \longrightarrow (C_1, C_0, \partial)$ and a homomorphism $(\sigma_1, \sigma_0) : (C_1 \times H_1, C_0 \times H_0, \partial \times \partial|) \longrightarrow (C_1, C_0, \partial)$ which makes commutative the diagram



By a direct checking we have $(\mu_1, \mu_0)(H_1, H_0, \partial|) \subseteq Z(C_1, C_0, \partial|)$, which means that $Z(C_1, C_0, \partial|)$ is the maximal central subobject of (C_1, C_0, ∂), as required. ■

Corollary 31 *Definition 27 is equivalent to the definition, in the sense of [37].*

Proof. Follows from Definitions 29 and Proposition 30. ■

Definition 32 *A singular (pre)crossed module in $\mathbb{C}$ is the crossed module coincide with its center.*

4.2 The Commutator of a (Pre)Crossed Module in MCI

In this subsection we introduce the notion of commutator of a precrossed module in $\mathbb{C}$ modules which recovers the Huq's commutator [37] and relative commutator [24], as well.
Let (C_1, C_0, ∂) be a precrossed module. The commutator of the corresponding precat^1-object $(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1)$ is the ideal $[(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1), (C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1)]$ generated by the set

$$\{(x_1, x_0) + (y_1, y_0) - (x_1, x_0) - (y_1, y_0),\ (x_1, x_0) + (0, y_0) - (x_1, x_0) - (0, y_0),$$
$$(x_1, x_0) + (0, \partial(y_1) + y_0) - (x_1, x_0) - (0, \partial(y_1) + y_0),\ (x_1, x_0) * (y_1, y_0),$$
$$(x_1, x_0) * (0, y_0), (x_1, x_0) * (0, \partial(y_1) + y_0) |\ \ (x_1, x_0), (y_1, y_0) \in C_1 \rtimes C_0 \text{ and } * \in \Omega_2'\}.$$

The image $\mathfrak{X}([(C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1), (C_1 \rtimes C_0, \omega_0, \omega_1)])$ is the object $(K_1, K_0, \partial|)$ where K_1 and K_0 are the ideals generated by the sets

$$\{x_0 \cdot x_1 - x_1,\ x_1 + y_1 - x_1 - y_1,\ x_1 * y_1, x_0 * x_1 \,|\, x_0 \in C_0,\ x_1, y_1 \in C_1\}$$

and

$$\{x_0 + y_0 - x_0 - y_0,\ x_0 * y_0 \,|\, x_0, y_0 \in C_0\},$$

respectively.

Definition 33 *Let (C_1, C_0, ∂) be a precrossed module. Then $(K_1, K_0, \partial|)$ is called the commutator subcrossed module of (C_1, C_0, ∂).*

If (C_1, C_0, ∂) is a crossed module then K_1 is the set generated by the set

$$\{x_0 \cdot x_1 - x_1, x_0 * x_1 \,|\, x_0 \in C_0,\ x_1 \in C_1\}.$$

4.3 Central Extensions of (Pre)Crossed Modules in MCI

Now, we introduce the central extensions of (pre)crossed modules in $\mathbb{C}$. Similar to Proposition 26, the definition coincides with the notion of centrality, in the sense of [25].

Definition 34 *Let (C_1, C_0, ∂_C) be a (pre)crossed module and (A_1, A_0, ∂_A) be a singular object in* (**P**)**Xmod**($\mathbb{C}$)*. A central extension of (C_1, C_0, ∂_C) by (A_1, A_0, ∂_A) is an extension*

$$(A_1, A_0, \partial_A) \rightarrowtail (B_1, B_0, \partial_B) \twoheadrightarrow (C_1, C_0, \partial_C)$$

such that (A_1, A_0, ∂_A) is a crossed ideal of $Z(B_1, B_0, \partial_B)$.

As a consequence, one can construct the classification of central extensions of (pre)crossed modules. see [1, 6, 8, 9, 20, 36, 38], for various cases.

References

[1] D. Arias, J.M. Casas and M. Ladra, On universal central extensions of precrossed and crossed modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 210*, No. 1, 177-191, (2007).

[2] Z. Arvasi and U. Ege, Annihilators, multipliers and crossed modules, *Appl. Categ. Structures, 11*, 487-506, (2003).

[3] H.J. Baues and E.G. Minian, Crossed extensions of algebras and Hochschild cohomology, *Homology Homotopy Appl., 4*, No. 2, (2002).

[4] F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G.M. Kelly, On the representability of actions in a semi-abelian category, *Theory Appl. Categ., 14*, No. 11, 244-286, (2005).

[5] Y. Boyacı, J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and E.Ö. Uslu, Actions in MCI with application to crossed modules, *Theory Appl. Categ., 30*, No. 25, 882-908, (2015).

[6] J.M. Casas, E. Khmaladze and M. Ladra, Crossed modules for Leibniz n-algebras, *Forum Mathematicum, 20*, No. 5, 841-858, (2008).

[7] J.M. Casas, R.F. Casado, E. Khmaladze and M. Ladra, More on crossed modules of lie, leibniz, associative and diassociative algebras, arxiv.org/abs/1508.01147.

[8] J.M. Casas, Invariantes de módulos cruzados en álgebras de Lie, Ph. D. Thesis, Universty of Santiago, (1990).

[9] J.M. Casas, Universal central extension and the second invariant of homology of crossed modules in Lie algebras,*Comm. Algebra, 27*, No. 8, 3811-3821, (1999).

[10] J.M. Casas and T. Datuashvili, Noncommutative Leibniz-Poisson algebras, *Comm. Algebra, 34*, No. 7, 2507-2530, (2006).

[11] J. M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Actors in categories of interest, arXiv:math/0702574v2 (2007).

[12] J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Actor of a precrossed modules, *Comm. Algebra, 37*, No. 12, 4516-4541, (2009).

[13] J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Actor of an alternative algebra, arXiv:math.RA/0910.0550v1, (2009).

[14] J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Universal strict general actors and actors in categories of interest, *Appl. Categ. Structures, 18*, No. 1, 85-114, (2010).

[15] J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Actor of a Lie-Leibniz algebras, *Comm. Algebra, 41*, No. 4, 1570-1587, (2013).

[16] J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Crossed modules for Lie-Rinehart algebras, *J. Algebra, 274*, No. 1, 192-201, (2004).

[17] J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili and M. Ladra, Left-right Noncommutative Poisson algebras, *Cent. Eur. J. Math., 12*, No. 1, 57-78, (2014).

[18] J.M. Casas, T. Datuashvili, M. Ladra and E.Ö. Uslu, Actions in the catagory of precrossed modules in Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra, 40*, No. 8, 2962-2982, (2012).

[19] J.M. Casas and M. Ladra, The actor of a crossed module in Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra, 26*, No. 7, 2065-2089, (1998).

[20] J.M. Casas, Crossed extensions of Leibniz algebras, *Comm. Algebra, 27*, No. 12, 6253-6272, (1999).

[21] T. Datuashvili, Cohomologically trivial internal categories in categories of groups with operations, *Appl. Categ. Structures, 3*, No. 3, 221-237, (1995).

[22] P. Dedecker and A.S.-T. Lue, A non-abelian two-dimensional cohomology for associative algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 72*, No. 6, 1044-1050, (1966).

[23] G.J. Ellis, Higher dimensional crossed modules of algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 52*, No. 3, 277-282, (1988).

[24] T. Everaert and T. Van der Linden, Relative commutator theory in semi-abelian categories, *Pré Publicacoes DMUC., 39*, No. 10, 1-30, (2010).

[25] G. Janelidze, G.M. Kelly, Galois theory and a general notion of central extension, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 52*, 135-161, (1994).

[26] G. Janelidze, G.M. Kelly, Central extensions in universal algebra: a unification of three notions, *Algebra Universalis, 44*, No. 1-2, 123-128, (2000).

[27] G. Janelidze, L. Márki and W. Tholen, Semi-abelian categories, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 168*, 367-386, (2002).

[28] G. Orzech, Obstruction theory in algebraic categories I and II, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 2*, 287-314 and 315-340, (1972).

[29] P.G. Higgins, Groups with multiple operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc., 3*, 366-416, (1956).

[30] R. Lavendhomme and Th. Lucas, On modules and crossed modules, *J. Algebra, 179*, No. 3, 936-963, (1996).

[31] J.-L. Loday, Spaces with finitely many non-trivial homotopy groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 24*, 179-202, (1982).

[32] J.-L. Loday, Algèbres ayant deux opérations associatives (digèbres), *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 321*,141-146, (1995).

[33] J.-L. LODAY, Di algebras and related operads, *Lecture Notes in Math.,Springer. Berlin. 1763*, 7-66, (2001).

[34] J.-L. Loday and M.O. Ronco, Trialgebras and families of polytopes, Homotopy Theory: Relations with Algebraic Geometry, Group Cohomology, and Algebraic K-theory, *Contemp. Math. 346*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 369-398, (2004).

[35] A.S.-T. Lue, Non-abelian cohomology of associative algebras, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser., 19*, No. 2, 150-180, (1968).

[36] K.J. Norrie, Crossed modules and analogues of group theorems, Ph. D. Thesis, King's College, (1987).

[37] S.A. Huq, Commutator, nilpotency and solvability in categories, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Series, 19*, No. 1, 363-389, (1968).

[38] K. Norrie, Actions and automorphisms of crossed modules, *Bull. Soc. Math. France, 118*, No. 2, 129-146, (1990).

[39] T. Porter, Extensions, crossed modules and internal categories in categories of groups with operations, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., (2) 30*, No. 3, 373-381, (1987).

[40] J.H.C. Whitehead, On operators in relative homotopy groups, *Ann. of Math., 49*, No. 2, 610-640, (1948).

[41] J.H.C. Whitehead, Actions and automorphisms of crossed modules, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55*, No. 453-496, (1949).